



## **Disclaimer:**

As a condition to the use of this document and the information contained herein, the Facial Identification Scientific Working Group (FISWG) requests notification by email before or contemporaneously to the introduction of this document, or any portion thereof, as a marked exhibit offered for or moved into evidence in any judicial, administrative, legislative, or adjudicatory hearing or other proceeding (including discovery proceedings) in the United States or any foreign country. Such notification shall include: 1) the formal name of the proceeding, including docket number or similar identifier; 2) the name and location of the body conducting the hearing or proceeding; and 3) the name, mailing address (if available) and contact information of the party offering or moving the document into evidence. Subsequent to the use of this document in a formal proceeding, it is requested that FISWG be notified as to its use and the outcome of the proceeding. Notifications should be sent to: [chair@fiswg.org](mailto:chair@fiswg.org)

## **Redistribution Policy:**

FISWG grants permission for redistribution and use of all publicly posted documents created by FISWG, provided that the following conditions are met:

Redistributions of documents, or parts of documents, must retain the FISWG cover page containing the disclaimer.

Neither the name of FISWG, nor the names of its contributors, may be used to endorse or promote products derived from its documents.

Any reference or quote from a FISWG document must include the version number (or creation date) of the document and mention if the document is in a draft status.



# Quality Management Requirements for Forensic Facial Image Comparison

## 1. Scope

1.1 This document outlines requirements for establishing and maintaining a quality management system (QMS) for forensic facial image comparison (FIC).

1.2 It is intended for organizations of all sizes, from those without formal quality systems to those pursuing accreditation.

1.3 This document addresses quality management practices that support reliable, transparent, and defensible FIC casework.

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 *ASTM Standards:*

E620 – Practice for Reporting Opinions of Scientific or Technical Experts

E1459 – Guide for Physical Evidence Labeling and Related Documentation

E1492 – Practice for Receiving, Documenting, Storing, and Retrieving Evidence

E1732 – Terminology Relating to Forensic Science

E2917 – Practice for Forensic Science Practitioner Training, Continuing

Education, and Professional Development

16 E3149 – Standard Guide for Facial Image Comparison Feature List for  
17 Morphological Analysis

18 *2.2 OSAC Registry:*

19 OSAC 2022-S-0001 – Standard Guide for Image Comparison Opinions

20 OSAC 2022-S-0008 – Standard Guide for Minimum Facial Image Comparison

21 Documentation

22 *2.3 FISWG Guidelines:*

23 FISWG Glossary

24 FISWG Guide for Mentorship of Facial Comparison Trainees in Role-Based Facial  
25 Comparison

26 FISWG Guide for Role-Based Training in Facial Comparison

27 FISWG Physical Stability of Facial Features of Adults

28 FISWG Guideline for the Use of ACE-V Methodology in One-to-One Examinations

29 *2.4 Other Standards and related quality guidance:*

30 ISO/IEC 17025 – General requirements for the competence of testing and  
31 calibration laboratories

32 ISO/IEC 21043 – Forensic sciences framework

33 ILAC G19:06/2022 – Modules in a Forensic Science Process

34 **3. Terminology**

35 *3.1 Definitions:*

36 3.1.1 Forensic Science Practitioner, *n*—an individual who (1) applies scientific or  
37 technical practices to the recognition, collection, analysis, or interpretation of evidence  
38 for criminal and civil law or regulatory issues; and (2) issues test results, provides  
39 interpretations, or opinions through reports or testimony with respect to such evidence.

40 3.1.2 Forensic Science Service Provider, *n*—an organization or individual that  
41 provides forensic services.

42 3.1.3 Nonconforming work, *n*—any work that deviates from documented  
43 procedures, produces an erroneous result, or otherwise fails to meet required  
44 standards.

45 3.1.4 Technical review, *v*—a qualified second party's evaluation of reports, notes,  
46 data, and other documentation to ensure there is appropriate and sufficient support for  
47 the actions, results, conclusions, opinions, and interpretations.

48 3.2 *Acronyms:*

49 3.2.1 FIC, *n*—Facial Image Comparison

50 3.2.2 FSP, *n*—Forensic Science Practitioner

51 3.2.3 FSSP, *n*—Forensic Science Service Provider

52 3.2.4 QMS, *n*—Quality Management System

## 53 4. Summary of Guide

54 4.1 This document outlines the essential components of a QMS for FIC, including  
55 personnel, documentation, casework practices, training, review, method validation,  
56 proficiency testing, audits, and corrective actions.

57 4.2 It provides both baseline and advanced practices to enable scalability depending  
58 on organizational structures and resources, while promoting consistency across agencies  
59 and organizations.

60 4.3 It aligns FIC activities with international forensic quality standards and is intended  
61 for organizations of varying size and maturity, from those without formal quality systems  
62 to those pursuing accreditation.

## 63 **5. Significance and Use**

64 5.1 An effective QMS provides assurance of and confidence in the quality of results  
65 and rigor of performance. Quality management systems serve as a basis for following  
66 best practice and improving processes.

67 5.2 The QMS shall cover all procedures and reports related to FIC activities,  
68 including management practices, evidence handling, analysis and reporting, training,  
69 mentorship and competency, documented protocols and procedures, technical and  
70 administrative review, proficiency testing, as well as equipment and consumables.

71 5.3 This document is intended for forensic science service providers (FSSPs) and is  
72 to be used by forensic science practitioners (FSP), managers, and quality personnel  
73 engaged in FIC activities.

74 **6. Quality Management System**

75 6.1 FSSPs shall establish, document, and maintain a QMS for FIC consistent with  
76 international standards.

77 6.2 The QMS shall include policies and procedures covering case management,  
78 evidence and image handling, examiner training, comparison methods, review  
79 processes, equipment validation, proficiency testing, and audits.

80 6.3 The QMS shall be consistent with an international standard, such as ISO/IEC  
81 17025 or ISO/IEC 17020. Organizations seeking accreditation shall conform with the  
82 specific standard.

83 6.4 The QMS shall be reviewed annually and updated as necessary.

84 **7. Personnel**

85 7.1 FSSPs shall define and document qualifications, roles, and responsibilities  
86 necessary for reliable and defensible FIC casework in line with international standards  
87 and best practice guidelines.

88 7.2 Documented job descriptions shall define responsibilities, duties, and required  
89 skills.

90 7.3 Personnel roles shall align with role definitions in the FISWG Guide for Role-  
91 Based Training in Facial Comparison.

92 7.4 Additional roles may include, but are not limited to:

93 7.4.1 Director – Oversees operations and compliance.

94 7.4.2 Quality Assurance Manager – maintains the QMS and coordinates internal or  
95 external reviews and audits.

96 7.4.3 Technician – prepares or processes case material but does not issue reports  
97 or opinions.

98 7.4.4 Forensic Science Practitioner – a designated person authorized to:

99 7.4.4.1 Examine and analyze materials, or direct such examinations.

100 7.4.4.2 Interpret data, issue reports for court or investigative purposes, and conduct  
101 technical reviews of reports.

102 7.4.5 Case Manager – reviews evidence for contextual information, assesses  
103 suitability, sets case strategy, or monitors caseload.

104 7.5 Forensic facial practitioners (herein practitioners) shall meet competency  
105 requirements consistent with ASTM E2917 and discipline-specific training programs.

106 7.6 Practitioners conducting FIC shall be trained and assessed in the ACE-V  
107 methodology as defined in the FISWG Guideline for the Use of ACE-V Methodology in  
108 One-to-One Examinations and apply the E3149 – Standard Guide for Facial Image  
109 Comparison Feature List for Morphological Analysis (ASTM).

## 110 **8. Training, Competency, and Proficiency**

111 8.1 FSSPs shall ensure all personnel are trained, competent, and proficiency-tested  
112 to perform FIC casework.

113 8.1.1 FSSPs shall maintain a documented training, continuing education, and  
114 professional development program to ensure practitioners are trained, competent, and  
115 tested for reliable casework.

116 8.1.2 Practitioners shall demonstrate and maintain the knowledge, skills, and  
117 abilities required for independent casework.

118 8.1.3 Competency and proficiency testing shall confirm that personnel performing  
119 FIC casework are capable of producing reliable and defensible results.

120 8.1.4 A documented program shall define objectives, responsibilities, test design,  
121 acceptance criteria, and remedial actions based on best practice guidelines and  
122 recognized standards.

## 123 8.2 Initial Competency Assessment

124 8.2.1 Candidates shall complete initial training and pass a competency assessment  
125 prior to performing independent casework.

126 8.2.2 Competency test samples shall be representative of typical casework.

127 8.2.3 The methodology for competency tests shall correspond to standard operating  
128 practice.

129 8.2.4 Assessments shall include:

130 8.2.4.1 Knowledge tests (image science, anatomy, structured methods, bias  
131 mitigation, documentation, ethics, and Facial Recognition System principles).

132 8.2.4.2 Practical comparison exercises (representative case types such as  
133 mugshots, CCTV, passport photos, social media, etc.).

134 8.2.4.3 Documentation and reporting (annotated notes and written report).

### 135 8.3 Supervised Casework and Mentorship

136 8.3.1 New practitioners shall complete a defined period of supervised casework  
137 reflective of the complexity of normal casework (e.g., 10–20 cases).

138 8.3.2 A mentorship program shall be administered in line with the FISWG  
139 Mentorship of Facial Comparison Trainees.

140 8.3.3 Mentorship shall include case review, competency evaluation, and  
141 observation of testimony where applicable.

### 142 8.4 Reassessment and Proficiency Testing

143 8.4.1 Competency shall be reassessed at least every two years or sooner if  
144 methods change, performance concerns arise, or the practitioner has a prolonged  
145 absence.

146 8.4.2 All practitioners shall participate in at least one proficiency test annually.

147 8.4.3 External proficiency tests through internationally recognized agencies are  
148 preferred when available.

149 8.4.4 Proficiency tests shall be blind where practicable and reflect diverse case  
150 types.

## 151 8.5 Remediation

152 8.5.1 Deficiencies shall trigger corrective actions such as retraining, supervised  
153 casework, or restriction of duties.

154 8.5.2 The action taken and purpose, and the evidence of completion and issues  
155 addressed shall be documented.

## 156 8.6 Performance Monitoring and Documentation

157 8.6.1 Performance indicators (e.g., inter-examiner agreement, inconclusive rates,  
158 error rates, timeliness) shall be defined and tracked.

159 8.6.2 All competency and proficiency records shall be retained in accordance with  
160 the organization's retention policy.

## 161 **9. Method Validation**

162 9.1 FSSPs shall validate or verify all methods and software used in FIC to ensure  
163 reliability and reproducibility.

164 9.2 Methods shall be validated prior to use to ensure they are reliable, reproducible,  
165 and fit for purpose. Adopted methods validated elsewhere (i.e., ACE-V, Morphological  
166 analysis) shall undergo local verification. Verification should test accuracy, repeatability,  
167 and examiner agreement under local conditions.

168 9.3 Validation reports shall document objectives, study design, datasets, metrics,  
169 results, limitations, and approvals.

170 9.4 Method validation activities shall address:

171 9.4.1 Intended use.

172 9.4.2 Representative datasets.

173 9.4.3 Performance metrics.

174 9.4.4 Reproducibility and robustness testing.

175 9.4.5 Bias evaluation.

176 9.5 Where a facial recognition system is used as an integral part of the examination  
177 process, the FSSP shall validate its performance within the operational context. This  
178 validation shall include, but is not limited to, independent performance testing, version  
179 control, threshold calibration, and results documented, as relevant.

180 9.5.1 Standard biometric performance methods shall be used. International  
181 Organization for Standardization (ISO), National Institute of Standards and Technology  
182 (NIST), and FISWG provide such recommendations.

183 9.6 Image enhancement procedures shall be validated to ensure they do not create  
184 misleading features.

185 9.7 Methods and software shall be revalidated at least every two years or after  
186 software changes that materially affect the software performance (e.g., algorithm  
187 upgrade) or the practitioner's use of the software.

188 9.8 Validation records shall be retained and available for audit.

## 189 **10. Equipment and Software**

190 10.1 FSSPs shall provide equipment and software that is fit for purpose to FSPs for  
191 FIC.

192 10.2 Monitors should meet minimum resolution and calibration per manufacturer  
193 instructions where available. Calibration shall be verified periodically to ensure  
194 consistent image presentation supporting reliable examination and comparison  
195 outcomes.

196 10.3 Software should be version-controlled and tested after updates.

197 10.4 Logs of validation, calibration, and monitoring shall be maintained.

## 198 **11. Documentation and Records**

199 11.1 FSSPs shall ensure that all FIC casework is traceable, reproducible, and  
200 meets documentation standards.

201 11.2 Case examination records shall be clear and sufficient for an independent  
202 practitioner to evaluate the notes, interpret data, and verify opinions.

203 11.3 Documentation shall comply with the FISWG Minimum Guidelines for Facial  
204 Image Comparison Documentation.

205 11.4 Casework documentation shall include:

206 11.4.1 Chain of custody for both physical and digital evidence.

207 11.4.2 Annotation of morphological analyses and ACE-V steps.

208 11.4.3 Explicit documentation of limitations (e.g., resolution, obstruction, pose  
209 variation).

210 11.4.4 Documentation of any image enhancements applied during the examination.

211 11.4.5 Documentation of uncertainty where applicable.

212 11.5 Case-related communications shall be maintained.

213 11.6 Casework documentation shall be retained in accordance with provider's  
214 policies and legal requirements.

## 215 **12. Case Review and Reporting**

216 12.1 FSSPs shall ensure independent review of casework and clear reporting of  
217 results.

218 12.1.1 A documented policy shall define who authorizes reported opinions.

219 12.1.2 Where practicable, individuals performing technical reviews shall not be privy  
220 to practitioners' opinions.

221 12.1.3 Disagreements between practitioners shall be resolved through a  
222 documented process with outcomes recorded and retained.

223 12.1.4 Technical reviews shall include validation of recorded observations, not only  
224 report text.

## 225 12.2 Reporting

226 12.2.1 Reports shall be accurate, clear, objective, and express opinions in terms of  
227 strength of support for competing propositions.

228 12.2.2 Reports shall follow ASTM E620 and align with OSAC Standard Guide for  
229 Image Comparison Opinions.

230 12.2.3 Reports shall meet jurisdictional requirements.

## 231 12.3 Court Testimony

232 12.3.1 Testimony monitoring shall ensure that results are properly qualified and  
233 communicated, including evaluation of the practitioner's explanation of process.

234 12.3.2 Testimony monitoring shall evaluate clarity and accuracy in court.

## 235 **13. Audits and Nonconforming Work**

236 13.1 FSSPs shall conduct audits and address nonconforming work to ensure  
237 accountability and continuous improvement.

238 13.2 Internal audits shall be conducted annually.

239 13.3 Nonconforming work shall be documented, investigated, classified, and  
240 corrected proportionately to risk.

241 13.4 Audit Planning

242 13.4.1 Scope and objectives shall be defined.

243 13.4.2 Timeframes shall be documented.

244 13.4.3 Auditors shall be qualified and independent.

245 13.4.4 External auditors may be used for impartial review.

246 13.4.5 Case file sampling shall include:

- 247 • At least 10 case files or 10% of annual closed cases, whichever is greater
- 248 • A mix of case types (1:1, N:N, high-profile/court work)
- 249 • Cases resulting in inconclusive opinions
- 250 • Cases using enhancement or automated tools
- 251 • Any cases flagged as nonconforming or complex
- 252 • Proportional representation from each practitioner

253 13.5 Audit Activities

254 13.5.1 Standard Operating Procedures review.

255 13.5.2 Forensic Case file review.

256 13.5.3 Technical reassessment of selected cases.

257 13.5.4 Interviews and observations of performance.

258 13.5.5 Proficiency and method validation review.

259 13.6 Findings and Corrective Action

260 13.6.1 Findings shall be classified (minor, major, critical).

261 13.6.2 Corrective actions shall be documented and tracked to closure.

262 13.7 Management Review

263 13.7.1 Audit results shall feed into management review.

264 13.7.2 Continuous improvement measures shall be identified and implemented.

265 13.8 Records Retention

266 13.8.1 All audit and corrective action records shall be maintained in accordance with

267 an agency's data retention policies and quality management systems.

268 **14. Continuous Improvement**

269 14.1 FSSPs shall implement continuous improvement practices to adapt to  
270 emerging challenges and maintain quality in FIC activities.

271 14.2 Feedback from investigators, courts, and stakeholders shall be reviewed  
272 annually.

273 14.3 Training programs shall be updated to reflect emerging challenges (e.g.,  
274 deepfakes, algorithmic bias).

275 14.4 FSSPs shall support employees in remaining current with best practices and  
276 standards in facial image comparison, as well as any relevant legal developments.

277

278

279

280

281

282

FISWG documents can be found at: [www.fiswg.org](http://www.fiswg.org)

DRAFT